

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,177	07/23/2001	Stefan U. Koschmieder	0040-PA	2600
7590 06/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel Reitenbach UNIROYAL CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC. World Headquarters			MULCAHY, PETER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Middlebury, CT 06749		1713		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,177	KOSCHMIEDER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 July 2001	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
ttachment(c)		
uttachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ې استان او استان کې استان کې استان کې استان کې استان کې د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	s)/Mail Date
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		oformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/917,177

Art Unit 1713

Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

Applicants' claim language "decomposition product" is indefinite. It is unclear as to exactly how this language further limits the claim. The decomposition product would be different depending upon the conditions under which the peroxide is actually decomposed. This is to say that the language is not limited as to specifically identify the metes and bounds of the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the

Serial No. 09/917,177

Art Unit 1713

time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over European Patent Application 853090.

This patent document extensively discloses the use of the claimed amyl peroxide compound in polypropylene resin compositions. The amyl peroxide is identified as being decomposed to result in the amyl alcohol as claimed. specifically Example 3. The starting melt flow index of the polypropylene is seen to fall within the claimed melt index, see specifically Figure 1 as well as the melt flow index as identified within the Examples. The agreeable odor characteristics obtained within the instantly claimed invention are presumed to be inherently possessed by the European patent application. This application shows the same composition formulated under the same conditions. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably presume this composition to possess properties which either anticipate or render obvious those as instantly claimed. A rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102/103 is appropriate when the prior art discloses all the limitations of a claim except for a property and the Examiner

Art Unit 1713

cannot determine whether the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has a reasonable basis for shifting the burden of proof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached during regular business hours.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Mulcahy:cdc June 25, 2004

PETÉR D/MULCAHY
PRIMARÝ EXAMINER